INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

INTERNAL AFFAIRS BUREAU INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

CASE NUMBER:	IV 2328250
SUBJECT(S):	Albert Mascheroni, Deputy, #
STATION/UNIT/BUREAU:	Lakewood Station, South Patrol Division
DATE/TIME/DAY:	January 8, 2013, 0800 hours, Tuesday
LOCATION:	555 West Foothill Boulevard, Claremont, CA. 91711
himself as an officer on official but and identification card to falsely of	ty, Deputy Albert Mascheroni (Subject) represented siness. He used his Sheriff's Department issued badge obtain the room number and access key card to hotel room. Subject Mascheroni then accessed the only pair of pants.
about why he was at the restaura at Chili's and had a short convers	cheroni showed up at the Chili's restaurant in Glendora plainant), was meeting a friend (Witness), had a short conversation with Subject Mascheroni arrived. Witness arrived ation with Ms. about how Subject Mascheroni, who the Chili's, knew Ms. arrived location. They left Chili's to ni.
Chili's parking lot, and they drove had a few drinks and decided to g and Witness 's consensu	Chili's in Witness car, leaving Ms. car in the to a restaurant (Buca di Beppo) in Claremont. They et a hotel room at the Claremont Doubletree hotel. Ms. ually spent the night together at the hotel. Subject ntil approximately 2:00 am and spent the night in his hili's.

Early the next morning (January 8, 2013) Witness left the hotel room for work, leaving Ms. at the hotel room alone. Shortly after Witness left, Ms. turned her cell phone on and saw she had received numerous text messages from friends checking on her safety because of recent nude pictures posted on her personal Facebook page during the night by Subject Mascheroni.
After spending the night in his vehicle, Subject Mascheroni used a Global Positioning System (GPS) software he installed on Ms. phone to track her to the Doubletree hotel in Claremont. At approximately 8:00 am on January 8, 2013, Subject Mascheroni walked into the Doubletree hotel lobby and contacted the Subject Mascheroni asked Witness if they had a guest registered by the last name of Witness Witness advised Subject Mascheroni they did and she offered to connect him via telephone with Witness Froom. Subject Mascheroni showed Witness What she believed was a Los Angeles Sheriff's Department badge and identification card. Seeing the identification and badge Witness made an access key card and gave the key card to Subject Mascheroni.
Shortly after obtaining the access key card, Subject Mascheroni went to and entered Witness room (#2202). When Subject Mascheroni entered the room, Ms. was in the room alone, lying on the bed under the covers. Startled and scared by Subject Mascheroni's presence, Ms. asked Subject Mascheroni what he was doing there. Subject Mascheroni and Ms. argued for several minutes before Subject Mascheroni took a photo of Ms. and left the room.
About 30 minutes after leaving the room, Subject Mascheroni came back into the room, using the access card, and another argument between Ms. and Subject Mascheroni occurred. Subject Mascheroni then took Ms. only pair of pants, which also contained her California driver's license, and left the hotel.
During this time Witness who was driving to work, received a text message from Subject Mascheroni that insinuated Subject Mascheroni had sex with Ms. The text message had a photo attached of Ms. sitting on the corner of the hotel room bed. Upon receiving the text message Witness called the Doubletree hotel to advise the hotel staff he was not responsible for what was going on in the room.
After talking with Witness the hotel staff (Witness and Witness was the room. They found Ms. was the only person in the hotel room. Ms. advised them that Subject Mascheroni had taken her pants. After hearing the conversation between Ms. and Witness called the Claremont Police Department to report the incident.

The Claremont Police Department responded and completed an incident report (#1300069) for Grand Theft Person, naming Subject Mascheroni as a suspect.

IAB Note: The Claremont Police Department's incident report is included with this investigation as Exhibit A.

During Claremont Police Department's investigation they learned Subject Mascheroni was a deputy sheriff assigned to Lakewood Sheriff's Station. On January 8, 2013, at approximately 1234 hours Claremont Police Department notified the Lakewood Station Watch Commander, Lieutenant Dinh of the incident.

on January 8, 2013, Lieutenant Dinh conducted an initial supervisor inquincident. Lieutenant Dinh interviewed Ms. Witnesses via telephone. During the interviews of Witnesses Lieutenant Dinh learned the Doubletree hotel had video su	and and
lobby.	in the
On January 9, 2013, Lieutenant Dinh obtained a copy of the Claremont Delobby surveillance video (Exhibit B) from Witness Lieutenant Witness watched the video footage together and Witness to Lieutenant Dinh the person in the video who showed her the badge. Immediately recognized the person who Witness pointed out as Mascheroni.	t Dinh and pointed out Lieutenant Dinh

IAB Note: Lieutenant Dinh completed an Office Correspondence documenting his initial inquiry into the incident, which is included with this investigation as Exhibit C.

On January 31, 2013, Claremont Police Department closed their investigation because Ms. was not desirous of criminal prosecution. The Claremont Police Department's Investigation Report is included with this investigation in **Miscellaneous Documents**.

COMPLAINANT INTERVIEW:

FW/32, was interviewed on January 8, 2013, at 1457 hours by Lieutenant Minh Dinh of Lakewood Station. The interview was a telephone interview. On August 29, 2013, Ms. was interviewed a second time by Sergeants Slade Carrizosa and Romeo Ingreso of Internal Affairs Bureau. Both interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed. The following is a summary of her two interviews.

Ms. and Subject Mascheroni had been in an approximately 12 years. Ms with Subject Mascheroni from August of 2012 to November of 2012 when they with Subject Ms. and Subject Mascheroni over the Christmas holiday and Ms. again on January 1, 2013.
After with Subject Mascheroni, Ms. arranged, via text message, to meet her friend, January 7, 2013. When Ms arrived at the Chill's restaurant in Glendora on January 7, 2013. When Ms arrived at the Chill's, Subject Mascheroni arrived at the front door at the same time as Ms. Ms. asked Subject Mascheroni what he was doing at the restaurant. Subject Mascheroni said, "I just wanted to see if you'd fall for it." Ms believed Subject Mascheroni was trying to make her think she had been communicating with him via text message and not Witness Subject Mascheroni entered the restaurant. After a short time he left, and Witness arrived.
Ms. said she did not invite or tell Subject Mascheroni she was going to the Chili's in Glendora. Ms believed Subject Mascheroni hacked her cell phone and tracked her via GPS through her cell phone. Ms. said she did not have any proof that Subject Mascheroni hacked and tracked her by her cell phone, but that was not the first time Subject Mascheroni showed up at a location where she was. Ms. said Subject Mascheroni worked on her cell phone and she believed he did something to her phone, allowing him to track her.
After Witness arrived, Ms. State told him they needed to leave because Subject Mascheroni had come back into the Chili's and sat at the bar. Ms. and Witness both left the Chili's in Witness wehicle. They drove around for a while and decided to stop at Buca di Beppo in Claremont. They had a couple drinks and Ms. and Witness decided to get a hotel room at the Claremont Doubletree hotel located next door to the restaurant.
Ms. spent a consensual night with Witness at the hotel. The next morning (January 8, 2013) Witness see left for work, leaving Ms. at the hotel room alone. After Witness left, Ms. structured her cell phone on and saw she had numerous text messages from friends worried about her safety because of five nude pictures posted on her Facebook page during the night.
Shortly after Witness state left the hotel room for work, Ms. The heard someone coming into the room. Ms to believed it was Witness returning to their room, to her surprise it was Subject Mascheroni who came into the room. Ms. was shocked, scared, nervous, and upset when she saw Subject Mascheroni in her room.

Ms. Independent inviting or giving Subject Mascheroni any reason to come to the hotel. Ms. Delieved Subject Mascheroni only knew her location because Subject Mascheroni hacked into her phone and used GPS to find her. When Ms. Desert returned to her car in Glendora, she recovered her pants from inside her car. Ms and said nothing was missing from her pants. Ms. Said her vehicle was locked but she had left a window down enough for her pants to be slid through the open window. Upon looking through her car, Ms. In noticed she was missing two retail store		
phone from Witness Wit	he wanted to see it for him talk Ms. into having so to have sex, and told him thim; she declined and ask needed a ride to her car.	ex with him. Ms. said she was "disgusted" by the request no. Subject Mascheroni tried to talk Ms. into leaving with ed him to leave. Subject Mascheroni asked Ms. said she would call a cab. Subject Ms. Subject Ms. Subject Ms. Subject Ms. Subject Ms.
room while Ms. Was still talking to Witness on the phone. Ms. Was trying to tell Witness that Subject Mascheroni was back, but the presence of Subject Mascheroni did not allow her to say anything. Subject Mascheroni then grabbed her pants from the table in hotel room and left. At no time did Subject Mascheroni communicate with Ms. Was any reason for taking her pants. After Subject Mascheroni took Ms. Was pants, she text him and told him she needed her pants back because her driver's license was inside her pants. After Ms. Was talked to the Claremont Police Department and was waiting for to arrive from Big Bear with pants, she received a text from Subject Mascheroni telling her that her pants were in her car. Ms. Subject Mascheroni only knew her location because Subject Mascheroni hacked into her phone and used GPS to find her. When Ms. Was returned to her car in Glendora, she recovered her pants from inside her car. Ms. Said nothing was missing from her pants. Ms. Said her vehicle was locked but she had left a window down enough for her pants to be slid through the open window. Upon looking through her car, Ms. Said she found a note written on her computer from Subject Mascheroni that stated he had taken the gift cards. IAB Note: During Ms. Was interview she stated she would take a picture of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms. Failed to provide the	phone from Witness Subject Mascheroni that s	Witness told Ms. he received a text message from aid he (Mascheroni) had sex with her. Witness told Ms.
pants. After Subject Mascheroni took Ms. pants, she text him and told him she needed her pants back because her driver's license was inside her pants. After Ms. talked to the Claremont Police Department and was waiting for Big Bear with pants, she received a text from Subject Mascheroni telling her that her pants were in her car. Ms. denied inviting or giving Subject Mascheroni any reason to come to the hotel. Ms. believed Subject Mascheroni only knew her location because Subject Mascheroni hacked into her phone and used GPS to find her. When Ms. returned to her car in Glendora, she recovered her pants from inside her car. Ms. said nothing was missing from her pants. Ms. said her vehicle was locked but she had left a window down enough for her pants to be slid through the open window. Upon looking through her car, Ms. noticed she was missing two retail store gift cards. Ms. said she found a note written on her computer from Subject Mascheroni that stated he had taken the gift cards. IAB Note: During Ms. Diriterview she stated she would take a picture of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms. failed to provide the	room while Ms. The was s to tell Witness that S Mascheroni did not allow h	till talking to Witness on the phone. Ms. was trying bubject Mascheroni was back, but the presence of Subject her to say anything. Subject Mascheroni then grabbed her
Ms. believed Subject Mascheroni only knew her location because Subject Mascheroni hacked into her phone and used GPS to find her. When Ms. creturned to her car in Glendora, she recovered her pants from inside her car. Ms said nothing was missing from her pants. Ms. said her vehicle was locked but she had left a window down enough for her pants to be slid through the open window. Upon looking through her car, Ms. noticed she was missing two retail store gift cards. Ms said she found a note written on her computer from Subject Mascheroni that stated he had taken the gift cards. IAB Note: During Ms. interview she stated she would take a picture of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms. failed to provide the	pants. After Subject Mass needed her pants back bed talked to the Claremont Po	cheroni took Ms. pants, she text him and told him she cause her driver's license was inside her pants. After Ms. police Department and was waiting for to arrive from
car. Ms said nothing was missing from her pants. Ms. said her vehicle was locked but she had left a window down enough for her pants to be slid through the open window. Upon looking through her car, Ms. noticed she was missing two retail store gift cards. Ms said she found a note written on her computer from Subject Mascheroni that stated he had taken the gift cards. IAB Note: During Ms. interview she stated she would take a picture of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms. failed to provide the	Ms. believed Subject	Mascheroni only knew her location because Subject
of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms.	car. Ms said nothing locked but she had left a window. Upon looking thright cards. Ms	g was missing from her pants. Ms. said her vehicle was rindow down enough for her pants to be slid through the open ough her car, Ms. noticed she was missing two retail store she found a note written on her computer from Subject
	IAB Note:	of the note written on her computer and provide the picture to Sergeant Carrizosa. Despite several attempts by Sergeant Carrizosa to obtain the picture, Ms. failed to provide the

Ms. also saw her computer bag was dumped out and she believed Subject Mascheroni had accessed her computer or a memory stick and obtained four of the five nude photos that were posted on her Facebook page. One of the nude pictures posted on her Facebook page belonged to Subject Mascheroni. Ms. said the other four pictures were only on her computer and a memory stick that was in her car, and the pictures were her property.
Ms. said she knew Subject Mascheroni posted the pictures on her Facebook page because of status updates that referred to situation with Witness
Msdid not save any of the text messages from Subject Mascheroni. At the time of the Internal Affairs Bureau interview Msdid not have any copies of the Facebook post or of the picture of her on the hotel room bed taken by Subject Mascheroni. Msbelieved her may have taken a screen shot of the Facebook post and saved a copy of the picture from the hotel room.
IAB Note: Ms. checked her records and her records but she was unable to find the picture of her in the hotel room or the Facebook posts.
The only communication Ms. The has had with Subject Mascheroni following this incident was within a few days of the incident when she received an apology text message from Subject Mascheroni.
After the incident Ms. filed for a restraining order. During the restraining order process she met with Subject Mascheroni's attorney and they signed a settlement agreement to stay away from each other.
During Ms. Internal Affairs Bureau interview she was shown the Claremont Doubletree hotel surveillance video (Exhibit B). She immediately identified Subject Mascheroni, who was wearing what appeared to be a two-tone striped sweatshirt, when he appeared in the camera's view.
On September 26, 2013, a follow up phone interview of Ms. was conducted by Internal Affairs Sergeant Slade Carrizosa. Sergeant Carrizosa conducted the interview to ascertain if Ms. had given Subject Mascheroni permission to install software on her cell phone.
Ms. said she did not give Subject Mascheroni permission to install any software on the cell phone that would allow Subject Mascheroni to track her () whereabouts or that would allow him (Mascheroni) to view her text messages. Ms. said Subject Mascheroni never asked or told her he was putting tracking software on her cell phone.

book. WITNESS INTERVIEWS: MW/36, was interviewed on January 8, 2013, at 1412 hours by Lieutenant Minh Dinh of Lakewood Station. The interview was a telephone interview. On August 28, 2013, at 0930 hours Mr. was interviewed a second time by Sergeant Slade Carrizosa of Internal Affairs Bureau. Both interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed. The following is a summary of his two interviews. Witness has known Ms. I for approximately 10 years, and the whole time he has known Ms. She has had an on and off again relationship with Subject Mascheroni. Throughout the years when he met up with Ms. She would always tell Witness she and Subject Mascheroni were broken up. Witness said Subject Mascheroni was always around and seemed to never want Ms. to move on from their relationship. Witness planned to meet Ms. In for drinks on January 7, 2013, at the Chili's in Glendora. When he arrived he saw Ms. at the bar in Chili's and a male, later identified as Subject Mascheroni, talking to her. As he walked into the bar he and Subject Mascheroni crossed paths and Subject Mascheroni said, "Good luck with her buddy." Witness had only seen pictures of Subject Mascheroni and did not know who he was when they crossed paths. Witness asked Ms. who that was, Ms. told him that was Subject Mascheroni and accused Witness of being in cahoots with Subject Mascheroni and arranging the meeting. Ms. went on to tell Witness that her phone must be bugged and he (Mascheroni) was tracking her. Witness suggested they go somewhere else. Witness and Ms. left together in Witness car. After driving around they stopped at Buca di Beppo in Claremont. Witness was sure they were not followed by Subject Mascheroni to the restaurant. After having a couple drinks, Witness and Ms. decided to get a room at the Claremont Doubletree hotel. Witness 1 and Ms. Respent a consensual night together at the hotel. When Witness turned his phone on early the next morning (January 8) he listened to a voice message he had from Subject Mascheroni asking him to have call him. Witness did not relay the message and left for work. Shortly after leaving the hotel, Witness received a text message from Subject Mascheroni. The text message contained a picture of Ms. under the covers on the corner of the hotel room bed, with the text message, "Thanks for paying the room, the \$94; we got a two-for deal. Lucky number two." Witness believed Subject Mascheroni was trying to get "under his skin." Witness said he did not respond

For Ms. complete statements, refer to her interview transcripts, included in this case

demeaning Ms.	ect Mascheroni continued to send text messages to Witness and accusing Witness of being a rapist and saying he pants.
IAB Note:	In the days following Witness phone interview with Lieutenant Dinh, Witness said he emailed or text a copy of the text messages and the picture of Ms. to Lieutenant Dinh. Lieutenant Dinh never received the email or text. After his Internal Affairs interview, Witness checked his records and did not locate the text messages or the picture.
hotel to advise them he was no longer at the ho	ed the text messages from Subject Mascheroni he called the as not responsible for any damages to the hotel room because otel. The hotel staff told Witness a Sheriff was there fter Witness called the hotel he started to get messages what happened.
or two after the incident un and a lieutenant is trying to	tinued to get text messages from Subject Mascheroni for a day til he told Subject Mascheroni he was saving his text messages o get in touch with him. After that, the text messages stopped, a Subject Mascheroni since.
	e Doubletree hotel lobby surveillance video and at 8am and 8 ness identified the male at the top right side of the screen
For Witness complete case book.	ete statement, refer to his interview transcripts, included in this
Lieutenant Minh Dinh of La On August 29, 2013, at 11 Sergeant Slade Carrizosa	was interviewed on January 8, 2013, at 1324 hours by akewood Station. The interview was a telephone interview. 105 hours Witness was interviewed a second time by of Internal Affairs Bureau. Both interviews were digitally The following is a summary of her two interviews.
at the front desk the morn	Doubletree hotel employee who Subject Mascheroni contacted ing of January 8, 2013. Witness arrived at work at and at about 0800 hours she went out to the front desk to help

Witness helped a person she believed to be a male Hispanic (Mascheroni) approximately 5'8" tall who was waiting in the lobby of the hotel. Subject Mascheroni told Witness had Subject Mascheroni verify the first name with the last name of 'Witness told Subject Mascheroni she could call the room and connect Subject Mascheroni with the room, although she was not authorized to give him the room number due to privacy rules.
Subject Mascheroni then showed Witness a badge and a picture identification card saying, "Well I'm actually an officer on business," and he did not want to "Ruin the element of surprise." Witness saw the picture on the identification appeared to match the person (Mascheroni) she was talking with and believed Subject Mascheroni was there on official business. She made a pass key card for Subject Mascheroni.
IAB Note: Witness described the badge as a star in a black case with blue lettering with a picture identification card next to the badge.
Witness said approximately 30-45 minutes after giving the key card to Subject Mascheroni she received a call from the registered hotel room guest, (Witness) Witness told Witness he had already left the hotel and did not wan to be held responsible for any charges or damages to the room. After she received the call from Witness she and (Witness) proceeded to the room to assess the situation.
When she and Witness arrived at the room they contacted Ms. who was the only person in the room. Ms. was distraught and asked them if they saw the man with her pants. Ms. was wrapped in a blanket and went on to tell them Subject Mascheroni had taken her pants.
Based on what was related to them they immediately called the Claremont Police Department to report the incident.
Witness checked with the hotel staff and the shift reports from January 7 and 8 of 2013 for any record of Subject Mascheroni or anyone coming into the hotel lobby prior to the time she () talked with Subject Mascheroni the morning of January 8, 2013. She did not find any record of anyone coming into the lobby to check on guests. Copies of the Doubletree shift reports are included with this investigation in Miscellaneous Documents.
For Witness complete statement, refer to her interview transcripts, included in this case book.

interview/conversation with Witness
MW/46, was interviewed on January 8, 2013, at 1324 hours by Lieutenant Minh Dinh of Lakewood Station. The interview was a telephone interview. On September 11, 2013, at 1420 hours Witness was interviewed a second time by Sergeants Slade Carrizosa and Justin Diez of Internal Affairs Bureau. Both interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed. The following is a summary of his two interviews.
Witness to check on room #2202. Witness was asked by Witness to meet her at room #2202. When he and Witness arrived they knocked on the door, and after no answer they opened the hotel room door. He saw the only person in the room was a distraught female (Ms. who was only wearing a brainful underwear. Witness stood at the entry door to the hotel room while Witness talked to Ms. Who was saying, Witness someone stole her clothes. Upon hearing what Ms. was saying, Witness and Witness looked at each other and he decided to call the Claremont Police Department. Witness used his cell phone to call the police while he stood at the door to the hotel room. Witness remained just outside the hotel room door until the Claremont Police arrived and while they conducted their investigation.
Later after the incident was over, with the assistance of Witness searched the hotel lobby surveillance video footage and found footage that showed Subject Mascheroni enter the hotel lobby and contact Witness at the front desk. Witness saved a copy of the video footage to a memory stick (thumb drive). He later gave the memory stick to a Sheriff's Lieutenant that came to the hotel.
Witness viewed the hotel surveillance footage from the entry and exit of building number two, where room #2202 in located. He said the video footage was such poor quality that you could not see anyone enter or exit the building.
For Witness case book.

SUBJECT INTERVIEW:

Albert Mascheroni, Deputy, # was interviewed on September 25, 2013, at approximately 1440 hours by Sergeants Slade Carrizosa and Romeo Ingreso of Internal Affairs Bureau. Deputy Mascheroni was represented by Mitchell Kander, Attorney from the Law Office of Greene & Shinee. Deputy Mascheroni's interview was digitally recorded and transcribed. The following is a summary of his interview.
Subject Mascheroni has known Ms. for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship as on-and-off again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship and the relationship again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship again for approximately 13 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relationship again for approximately 14 years and described their relat
On January 8, 2013, Subject Mascheroni said their was in "limbo." In the week prior to January 8, 2013, Subject Mascheroni was not sure if he met in person with Ms. They did text each other. The text messages ranged from Ms. The asking form for a loan, to sending him naked photos. Subject Mascheroni said he saved all the text messages and would provide them to Sergeant Carrizosa.
IAB Note: On October 3, 2013, Sergeant Carrizosa received copies of the text messages, emails, instant messages, and photos sent during the week prior to January 8, 2013, which are included with this investigation as Exhibit D.
Subject Mascheroni said he knew about Ms. In meeting Mr. In at the Chili's in Glendora on January 7, 2013, because he had installed software on Ms. It cell phone that enabled him to see all of Ms. It text messages. Subject Mascheroni also installed software on Ms. It cell phone that allowed him to track Ms. It cell phone via Global Positioning System (GPS). Subject Mascheroni stated he asked Ms. It for her "explicit permission" to install the software on her cell phone. He did this so nothing would be hidden and Ms. It would know about the software on her phone.
Subject Mascheroni said the reason he installed the software on Ms. cell phone was because she was known to drink too much, pass out, and get stranded. On one occasion Subject Mascheroni said he received a call from a guy stating Ms. was bassed out and was getting raped. After that incident Subject Mascheroni installed the tracking software on her phone.
After seeing the text messages from Ms. and Mr. stating they were meeting at the Chili's in Glendora, Subject Mascheroni became concerned for Ms. safety.

	Glendora found.
relationship with Mr	In the text correspondences, approximately one month prior to
IAB Note:	A copy of the text conversation from October 25 and 26, 2012, between Mr. and Ms. in which the phrase "is used was provided by Subject Mascheroni On October 3, 2013, and is included with this investigation as Exhibit E.
IAB Note:	In the text conversation in Exhibit E and F the "626" number with the name " Exhibit E and F the "626" number with the name "Al" belonged to Mr. The "562" number with the name "Al" belonged to Subject Mascheroni.
never told Subject M still believed Ms. was crime because he did not	to get Ms. to open up and tell him about the but Ms. ascheroni she was subject Mascheroni Subject Mascheroni by Mr. Subject Mascheroni never reported the feel he had sufficient information. Subject Mascheroni did not immediate danger, because he did not think Ms
was really meeting u Mascheroni had a short or myself." Ms tried to crossed paths as he	Subject Mascheroni went to the Chili's to see for himself if Ms. p with Mr. Once at the Chili's in Glendora, Subject conversation with Ms. Only saying, "I wanted to see for talk to Subject Mascheroni and he said, "I'm fine." He and Mr. walked out of the Chili's. Subject Mascheroni did not recall as he walked passed him.
approximately 2:00 am dr	and Subject Mascheroni stayed at the Chili's bar till inking. After drinking, Subject Mascheroni decided to spend a parking lot of the Chili's.

In the text messages, Exhibit D, provided by Subject

Mascheroni there was no mention of meeting at the Chili's in

IAB Note:

After leaving the Chili's, Subject Mascheroni said he was "Childish" and accessed personal Facebook page, from his computer in his vehicle, and posted toples photos he had of Ms. The property of the Facebook page. Subject Mascheroni admitted having permission to access Ms. Facebook page.	SS
Subject Mascheroni said he did not try to track Ms. cell phone until approxim 6:00 am the next morning (January 8). At approximately 6:00 am Subject Mascheroni cell phone, and the call went straight to voice mail. Subject Mascheroni tried to GPS track her cell phone and he determined Ms. cell was off. S Mascheroni continued checking for Ms. GPS location and eventually was not via the tracking program that Ms. cell phone was in Claremont.	eroni heroni ubject
Subject Mascheroni drove from the Chili's in Glendora to Claremont not knowing hexact location in Claremont. While driving, Subject Mascheroni text Ms askin where she was and if she was okay. Subject Mascheroni said Ms. responde text telling him she was okay. When Subject Mascheroni arrived where the GPS program indicated Ms. cell phone was, he realized he was at the Doubletree he Claremont.	ng her d via
When Subject Mascheroni arrived at the Doubletree, he knew Mr. had alread because he (Mascheroni) was still monitoring Ms. text messages. Subject Mascheroni said Ms. did not ask him to come to the hotel nor did she indicate of the text message conversation she was under any type of duress and needed his Subject Mascheroni went into the hotel because he wanted to see for himself if Ms was okay.	in any s help.
IAB Note: Copies of all the text message conversations between and Mr. provided by Subject Mascheroni from January 7 through January 8, 2013, are included with the investigation as Exhibit F.	m
Subject Mascheroni walked into the hotel lobby and asked the female clerk, Witness, for the room number for property of Witness Subject Mascheroni she could call them and let them know you're here. Subject Mascheroni told Witness No. I just want to make sure she's was okay," showed Witness Inis Sheriff's Department flat badge and identification.	told
Subject Mascheroni did not remember telling Witness that he did not war ruin the element of surprise, saying if he said that it would have been a joke. Subject Mascheroni denied telling Witness he was there on official business.	

him the key and told him had been subject Mascheroni did not and went to Ms. The subject of the hotel room.	the only asked for the room number and Witness gave be would need the key to get through the doors and hallways. It realize it was a room key. Subject Mascheroni took the key m, not knowing it was a room key. Subject Mascheroni in door and put the key into the door and saw the key unlocked eroni was not sure if she said to come in, but at that point m.
covered up by a blanket. Subject Mascheroni saw a for myself," and asked Ms Subject Mascheroni she w Ms. if she needed a r	Ms asked Subject Mascheroni what he was doing there, small spot of blood on the bed and said, "I guess I had to see it if she was okay and if it was mutual. Ms. told was okay and that it was mutual. Subject Mascheroni asked ide to her car. Subject Mascheroni said she told him that she till after she took a shower. Subject Mascheroni waited inside showered.
While Subject Mascheroni a picture of her sitting on t	was talking with Ms. prior to her taking a shower, he took he bed.
IAB Note:	Subject Mascheroni provided a copy of the picture he took of Ms. on the hotel room bed, which is included with this investigation as Exhibit G.
the text message that he a for paying for the room. Th Mascheroni admitted to se not recall sending the pict	t recall sending the picture to Mr

After Ms. took a shower, Subject Mascheroni said she changed her mind about
needing a ride to her car. They had a short conversation about how she would get to he
car, and he took Ms. pants and left the hotel room. Subject Mascheroni said he did
not have any reason to take Ms. Full pants; he was just being "Childish." He later
realized how childish it was and text Ms. asking her if she wanted him to bring her
pants back. Subject Mascheroni and Ms. communicated via text about what he
would do with her pants and Subject Mascheroni said she told him to leave them in in car
Subject Mascheroni placed her pants through a partially open window. Subject
Mascheroni denied taking any gift cards from Ms. car or writing a note on her
computer stating he took the gift cards.
The sale sentent Cabinet Manches and the bad with Manches and Cabinet Manches and Cabi
The only contact Subject Mascheroni has had with Ms. since January 8, 2013, was
during a court hearing at Pomona Court regarding a restraining order filed against Subject
Mascheroni by Ms. Pursuant to a negotiation between Mr. Kander and Ms.
the restraining order was dismissed.

During Subject Mascheroni's interview, he identified himself on two screen shot photos captured from the Doubletree hotel lobby video. The two screen shot photos are included with this investigation as **Exhibit H.**

On October 3, 2013, Subject Mascheroni provided several pages of text messages, instant messages and emails he received as a result of the software installed on Ms. cell phone. The documents provided by Subject Mascheroni were separated and placed into Exhibits D-G. A complete copy of all the items provided by Subject Mascheroni is included with this investigation in Miscellaneous Documents.

For Subject Mascheroni's complete statement, refer to his interview transcript, included in this case book.




County of Los Angeles

Sheriff's Bepartment Headquarters
4700 Ramona Boulevard
Alonterey Hark, California 91754–2169



December 10, 2013



Dear Deputy Mascheroni:


On November 7, 2013, you were served with a Letter of Intention indicating your right to respond to the Sheriff's Department's pending disciplinary action against you, as reported under File Number IAB 2328250. You were also advised of your right to review the material on which the discipline was based

You did exercise your right to respond. However, after review and consideration of the response submitted to support your position, it has been determined that the recommended discipline is appropriate.

You are hereby notified that you are discharged from your position of Deputy Sheriff, Item No. 2708A, with this Department, effective as of the close of business on December 9, 2013.

An investigation under File Number IAB 2328250, conducted by Internal Affairs Bureau, coupled with your own statements, has established the following:

1. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Sections 3-01/030.10, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders [as it pertains to 637.7 P.C., Tracking Device, 502 (c) (8) P.C., Knowingly Introducing Any Computer Contaminant, 502 (c) (4) P.C., Knowingly Access without Permission, and 646.9 P.C., Stalking]; and/or 3-01/030.05, General Behavior; and/or 3-01/030.15, Conduct Toward Others; and/or 3-01/000.13, Professional Conduct – Core Values, on or about 2012 and continuing through January 8, 2013, you treated in an uncivil and disrespectful manner and conducted yourself in conflict with the Department's Core



- a. admitting you installed software on cellular telephone and used the software to track her location and view her text messages; and/or,
- b. replying, "I can see, yes," when asked if you could track using GPS, and/or words to that effect; and/or,
- c. stating, "I don't , I mean, it's not like I'm tracking a random person. I'm not tracking a girl I just dated, you know, for a day or a week or two. I'm, it's not tracking her. It's, for me, it was making sure she's okay," and/or words to that effect; and/or,
- d. admitting you went to a Chili's restaurant on January 7, 2013, where met met and and contacted her when she was not expecting to see you, and later spent the night in your truck in the restaurant's parking lot since you had been drinking alcoholic beverages, and to make sure she was okay; and/or,
- e. admitting you accessed Facebook account and downloaded semi-nude pictures of onto her Facebook account without her permission; and/or,
- f. admitting you went to the DoubleTree Hotel in the city of Claremont on January 8, 2013, to contact after monitoring her text messages and using an electronic tracking device to determine that was at that location.

Your conduct brought discredit to yourself and/or the Department.

2.

Sections 3-01/030.10, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders [as it pertains to 637.7 P.C., Tracking Device, 487 (c) P.C., Grand Theft Person, 646.9 P.C., Stalking, and 602 P.C., Trespass]; and/or 3-01/050.30, Off-Duty Incidents: and/or 3-01/030.05, General Behavior; and/or 3-01/000.10, Professional Conduct; and/or 3-01/000.13, Professional Conduct - Core Values; and/or 3-01/030.15, Conduct Toward Others, on or about January 8, 2013, your conduct did not conform to the Department's Core Values as you admitted you demonstrated a pattern of undesirable and unprofessional behavior when you willfully approached desk personnel at a DoubleTree Hotel in the City of Claremont and displayed your badge and/or Department identification in a fraudulent effort to obtain information regarding the whereabouts of and and to secure a key to the room being used by them. Your unprofessional conduct was a guise which gave the impression that you were conducting official law enforcement business. You trespassed and entered into the room shared by and twice without their permission. You committed a theft when you took pants and driver license from her possession without her permission and left the room. You took a photograph of without her permission and sent the picture to enti via text message on his cellular telephone in an effort which was disrespectful and demeaning to and antagonizing to You failed to conduct yourself honorably and exercise the integrity expected of a law enforcement officer as you were named as a suspect in a Claremont Police Department criminal theft report (number 1300069). Although you were named as a theft suspect in a Claremont Police Department criminal report (1300069), no charges were filed by the District Attorney's Office as was not desirous of prosecution and did not want you to lose your employment. You admitted you were named in a restraining order obtained by but efforts to secure a permanent order were negotiated away with a

promise that you would not make contact with

Department. You admitted you failed to notify your

Your conduct brought discredit to yourself and the

That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures

supervisor of this incident and/or you being named as a suspect in the Claremont Police Department report (number

- 3. That in violation of Manual of Policy and Procedures Section 3-01/040.75, Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal investigations, on or about September 25, 2013, you failed to make full, complete or truthful statements and/or made false statements during an administrative interview as evidenced by, but not limited to:
 - a. denying that you told "actually an officer on business," and/or words to that effect; and/or,
 - denying that you stated to that you "did not want to ruin the element of surprise," and/or words to that effect; and/or,
 - stating that when you took a picture of the hotel room, she was fully clothed, and/or words to that effect; and/or,
 - d. stating that you did, "nothing" with the picture you took of the state of the st

Additional facts for this decision are set forth in the Disposition Worksheet, Investigative Summary and Investigative Packet which are incorporated herein by reference.

In taking this disciplinary action, your record with this Department has been considered, and a thorough review of this incident has been made by Department executives, including your Unit and Division Commanders.

You may appeal the Department's action in this matter pursuant to Rules 4.02, 4.05 and 18.02 of the Civil Service Rules.

You may, if you so desire, within fifteen (15) business days from the date of service of this notice of discharge, request a hearing on these charges before the Los Angeles County Civil Service Commission, 222 North Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The Sheriff's Department reserves the right to amend and/or add to this letter.

Sincerely,

LEROY D. BACA, SHERIFF

MICHAEL J. ROTHANS, CHIEF SOUTH PATROL DIVISION

Note: Attached for your convenience are excerpts of the applicable areas of the Manual of Policy and Procedures and Civil Service Rules.

MJR:AEA:jp

c: Advocacy Unit

Chief Michael J. Rothans, South Patrol Division
Merrill E. Ladenheim, Captain, Lakewood Station
Internal Affairs Bureau
Office of Independent Review (OIR)
Indy A. Gerhardt, Captain, Personnel Administration

Judy A. Gerhardt, Captain, Personnel Administration

Doreen Garcia